IN THE	UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

# FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MUHAMMED ALI,

No. C 10-2366 WHA (PR)

Petitioner,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE; GRANTING LEAVE TO PROCEED

IN FORMA PAUPERIS

VS.

B. STOLC, Warden,

(Docket Nos. 3 & 5)

Respondent.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Petitioner, a prisoner currently incarcerated in Arizona, has filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2254 challenging a conviction obtained in a California state court. He has applied for leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

### **STATEMENT**

In 2008, a jury in Alameda County Superior Court convicted petitioner of two counts of corporal injury of a spouse, one count of false imprisonment, and one count of making a terrorist threat. The trial court sentenced him to a term of thirteen years and four months in state prison. The California Court of Appeal affirmed the conviction, and the California Supreme Court denied review.

# 1 2

### A. STANDARD OF REVIEW

This court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. 2254(a); *Rose v. Hodges*, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975). Habeas corpus petitions must meet heightened pleading requirements. *McFarland v. Scott*, 512 U.S. 849, 856 (1994). An application for a federal writ of habeas corpus filed by a prisoner who is in state custody pursuant to a judgment of a state court must "specify all the grounds for relief which are available to the petitioner ... and shall set forth in summary form the facts supporting each of the grounds thus specified." Rule 2(c) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, 28 U.S.C. foll. 2254. "[N]otice' pleading is not sufficient, for the petition is expected to state facts that point to a 'real possibility of constitutional error." Rule 4 Advisory Committee Notes (quoting *Aubut v. Maine*, 431 F.2d 688, 689 (1st Cir. 1970).

**ANALYSIS** 

### B. LEGAL CLAIMS

As grounds for federal habeas relief, petitioner claims that (1) there was insufficient evidence to support his conviction for false imprisonment, in violation of his right to due process; (2) the instructions on reasonable doubt were erroneous, violating his right to due process; and (3) petitioner's right to due process was violated by a number of errors in his sentence.

Liberally construed, these issues are sufficient to require a response.

## 

### **CONCLUSION**

- 1. The clerk shall mail a copy of this order and the petition with all attachments to the respondent and the respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on the petitioner.

2. Respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner, within ninety days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted based on

and serve on petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the

the cognizable claims in the petition, as identified above. Respondent shall file with the answer

If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the court and serving it on respondent within thirty days of the date the answer is filed.

- 3. Respondent may file, within ninety days, a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If respondent files such a motion, petitioner shall file with the court and serve on respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within thirty days of the date the motion is filed, and respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner a reply within fifteen days of the date any opposition is filed.
- 4. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the court must be served on respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to respondent's counsel. Petitioner must keep the court informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). *See Martinez v. Johnson*, 104 F.3d 769, 772 (5th Cir. 1997) (Rule 41(b) applicable in habeas cases).
- 5. Petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis (Docket Nos. 3 & 5) is **GRANTED** in light of his lack of funds.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June <u>25</u>, 2010.

WILLIAM ALSUP\_

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE